AO 243 (Rev. 5/85)

RECEIVED & FILED MOTION UNDER 28 USC § 2255 TO VACATE, SET ASIDE, OR CORRECT
SENTENCE BY A PERSON IN FEDERAL CUSTODY

05 NOV 28 PH 4: 40

| United States District Court | District JUDICIAL DISTRICT PUERTO RICO |
|---|---|
| Name of Movant | |
| JUAN ENRIQUE CINTRON-CARABALLO | Prisoner No. Case No. |
| Place of Confinement | 14755 007 77 OR 070 20(DRD) |
| | FL 33521-1033 |
| | |
| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA | V. JUAN ENRIQUE CINTRON-CARABALLO (name under which convicted) |
| мс | OTION |
| 1. Name and location of court which entered the judgment | of conviction under attack US DISTRICT COURT- |
| JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO, S. | an Juan, Puerto Rico 00918 |
| 2. Date of judgment of convictionMarch 09,20 | 000 (Sentencing) |
| | t and \$100 special assessment. |
| | iracy 21 USC 846 (one count) |
| 4. Nature of offense involved (all counts) | |
| | |
| | |
| · | |
| | |
| 5. What was your plea? (Check one) | |
| (a) Not guilty XXX | |
| (b) Guilty | |
| (c) Nolo contendere | |
| If you entered a guilty plea to one count or indictment, and | d a not guilty plea to another count or indictment, give details: |
| Not applicable | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| 6. If you pleaded not guilty, what kind of trial did you have | e? (Check one) |
| (a) Jury x (b) Judge only | A (Check one) |
| 7. Did you testify at the trial? Yes □ No | |
| 8. Did you appeal from the judgment of conviction? Yesk No □ | |
| | |

AO 243 (Rev. 5/85)

| | If you did appeal, as | nswer the following: |
|-----|--|--|
| | (a) Name of court_ | First Circuit Court of Appeals |
| , | (b) Result | Conviction affirmed. |
| | (c) Date of result | November 20, 2003 |
| 10. | Other than a direct a | appeal from the judgment of conviction and sentence, have you previously filed any petitions ns with respect to this judgment in any federal court? |
| 11. | If your answer to 10 | was "yes," give the following information: |
| | (a) (1) Name of cou | rtUnited States Supreme Court |
| | (2) Nature of pro | oceeding Petition for writ of certiorari |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| | (3) Grounds rais | ed Violations of Discovery and Federal Rules of Evidence |
| | Requiren | nents by Government Counsel. |
| | | |
| • | | |
| | | 1 |
| | | |
| | | |
| | (4) Did you rece Yes x∃ No 🕻 | ive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion? |
| | Yes xIX No | ive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion? |
| | (4) Did you rece Yes X No (5) Result | Not applicable |
| | Yes XX No XX (5) Result | Not applicable |
| | Yes XX No XX (5) Result | Not applicable Petition denied on November 29, 2004. d petition, application or motion give the same information: |
| | Yes XX No XX (5) Result | Not applicable Petition denied on November 29, 2004. d petition, application or motion give the same information: The state of the same information: The state of the same information: |
| | Yes XX No (5) Result | Not applicable Petition denied on November 29, 2004. d petition, application or motion give the same information: The state of the same information: The state of the same information: |
| | Yes XX No XX (5) Result (6) Date of result (b) As to any second (1) Name of count (2) Nature of probased on | ive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion? Not applicable Petition denied on November 29, 2004. d petition, application or motion give the same information: The First Circuit Court of Appeals Receding Motion to Recall Mandate New Law: Blakely v Washington. |
| | Yes XX No (5) Result (6) Date of result (b) As to any second (1) Name of court (2) Nature of pro Based on (3) Grounds raise | ive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion? Not applicable Petition denied on November 29, 2004. d petition, application or motion give the same information: First Circuit Court of Appeals seeding Motion to Recall Mandate New Law: Blakely v Washington. Unconstitutional enhancement of sentence in violation |
| | Yes XX No (5) Result (6) Date of result (b) As to any second (1) Name of court (2) Nature of pro Based on (3) Grounds raise | ive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion? Not applicable Petition denied on November 29, 2004. d petition, application or motion give the same information: The First Circuit Court of Appeals Receding Motion to Recall Mandate New Law: Blakely v Washington. |
| | Yes XX No (5) Result (6) Date of result (b) As to any second (1) Name of court (2) Nature of pro Based on (3) Grounds raise | ive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion? Not applicable Petition denied on November 29, 2004. d petition, application or motion give the same information: First Circuit Court of Appeals seeding Motion to Recall Mandate New Law: Blakely v Washington. Unconstitutional enhancement of sentence in violation |
| | Yes XX No (5) Result (6) Date of result (b) As to any second (1) Name of court (2) Nature of pro Based on (3) Grounds raise | ive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion? Not applicable Petition denied on November 29, 2004. d petition, application or motion give the same information: First Circuit Court of Appeals seeding Motion to Recall Mandate New Law: Blakely v Washington. Unconstitutional enhancement of sentence in violation |

| (6) | Date of result December 10, 2004 |
|------------|---|
| ард (1) | d you appeal, to an appellate federal court having jurisdiction, the result of action taken on any petition, plication or motion? First petition, etc. Yes No X X X |
| (d) If | you did not appeal from the adverse action on any petition, application or motion, explain briefly why you did not: |
| | Direct Appeal: No motion for reconsideration was filed due to |
| | ineffectiveness of appellate counsel. |
| - | Motion to recall mandate: No motion for reconsideration was fi |
| | due to lack of legal expertise by 'pro se' litigant. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| • | |
| reaties | ncisely every ground on which you claim that you are being held in violation of the constitution, laws or of the United States. Summarize briefly the facts supporting each ground. If necessary, you may attachating additional grounds and facts supporting same. |
| 'AUTION | N: If you fail to set forth all ground in this motion, you may be barred from presenting additional |
| rounds | at a later date. |

Do not check any of these listed grounds. If you select one or more of these grounds for relief, you must allege facts. The

(a) Conviction obtained by plea of guilty which was unlawfully induced or not made voluntarily or with understanding of

motion will be returned to you if you merely check (a) through (j) or any one of the grounds.

the nature of the charge and the consequences of the plea.

(b) Conviction obtained by use of coerced confession.

| (c) | Conviction | obtained | by | use | of | evidence | gained | pursuant to an | unconstitutional | search and | seizure. |
|-----|------------|----------|----|-----|----|----------|--------|----------------|------------------|------------|----------|
|-----|------------|----------|----|-----|----|----------|--------|----------------|------------------|------------|----------|

- (d) Conviction obtained by use of evidence obtained pursuant to an unlawful arrest.
- (e) Conviction obtained by a violation of the privilege against self-incrimination.
- (f) Conviction obtained by the unconstitutional failure of the prosecution to disclose to the defendant evidence favorable to the defendant.
- (g) Conviction obtained by a violation of the protection against double jeopardy.
- (h) Conviction obtained by action of a grand or petit jury which was unconstitutionally selected and impanelled.
- (i) Denial of effective assistance of counsel.
- (j) Denial of right of appeal.

| A. | Ground one: INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL AT TRIAL |
|----|---|
| | |
| | Supporting FACTS (state briefly without citing cases or law) |
| | Denial of right to testify by trial counsel. See attached |
| | memorandum of points and authorities. |
| | Failure to interview/present defense witness FELIX MATOS. |
| | See memorandum of points/authorities. |
| | |
| В. | Ground two: INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL ON DIRECT APPEAL. |
| | Failure to raise valid constitutional issues regarding the |
| | unlawful sentencing enhancements. Supporting FACTS (state briefly without citing cases or law): |
| | See attached memorandum of points/authorities. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| C. | Ground three: INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL ON PETITION FOR |
| | WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES. |
| | Supporting FACTS (state briefly without citing cases or law): |
| | See attached memorandum of points/authorities. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

(-

| D. | Ground four: CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO RELIEF BASED ON UNITED ST |
|---|---|
| | V. BOOKER, 543 US (2005) Sixth Amendment Right to Jury T |
| | Supporting FACTS (state briefly without citing cases or law): |
| | See attached memorandum of points/authorities. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| . • | |
| | |
| | F.F |
| - | |
| (1) Ine | ffective assistance of counsel: per Supreme Court rulings thi |
| ÷ | ffective assistance of counsel: per Supreme Court rulings the |
| cla | |
| cla as t | im cannot reasonably be raised until after trial/direct appea |
| cla as t) Relie affor | im cannot reasonably be raised until after trial/direct appear the damage is not usually detected until after those actions. If under "BOOKER": This is based on new law which should be ded to the Petitioner as a constitutional right. |
| as t) Relie affor Do you hav Yes □ No 1 Give the nan herein: | im cannot reasonably be raised until after trial/direct appearing the damage is not usually detected until after those actions. If under "BOOKER": This is based on new law which should be ded to the Petitioner as a constitutional right. any petition or appeal now pending in any court as to the judgment under attack? It is and address, if known, of each attorney who represented you in the following stages of the judgment attack |
| as t) Relie affor Do you hav Yes □ No 1 Give the nan herein: | im cannot reasonably be raised until after trial/direct appear the damage is not usually detected until after those actions. If under "BOOKER": This is based on new law which should be ded to the Petitioner as a constitutional right. It amy petition or appeal now pending in any court as to the judgment under attack? |
| as t) Relie affor Do you hav Yes □ No 1 Give the nan herein: | im cannot reasonably be raised until after trial/direct appearing the damage is not usually detected until after those actions. If under "BOOKER": This is based on new law which should be ded to the Petitioner as a constitutional right. any petition or appeal now pending in any court as to the judgment under attack? It is and address, if known, of each attorney who represented you in the following stages of the judgment attack |
| as t) Relie affor Do you hav Yes □ No Give the nan herein: (a) At preli | im cannot reasonably be raised until after trial/direct appearing the damage is not usually detected until after those actions. If under "BOOKER": This is based on new law which should be ded to the Petitioner as a constitutional right. any petition or appeal now pending in any court as to the judgment under attack? It is and address, if known, of each attorney who represented you in the following stages of the judgment attack |
| as t) Relie affor Do you hav Yes □ No □ Give the nanherein: (a) At preli | im cannot reasonably be raised until after trial/direct appearance to the damage is not usually detected until after those actions. If under "BOOKER": This is based on new law which should be ded to the Petitioner as a constitutional right. If any petition or appeal now pending in any court as to the judgment under attack? If any petition or appeal now pending in any court as to the judgment under attack? If any petition or appeal now pending in any court as to the judgment under attack? If any petition or appeal now pending in any court as to the judgment under attack? |
| as t) Relie affor Do you hav Yes □ No □ Give the nanherein: (a) At preli (b) At arrai | im cannot reasonably be raised until after trial/direct appear the damage is not usually detected until after those actions. If under "BOOKER": This is based on new law which should be ded to the Petitioner as a constitutional right. It is any petition or appeal now pending in any court as to the judgment under attack? The and address, if known, of each attorney who represented you in the following stages of the judgment attack minary hearing The analysis of the property |
| as t) Relie affor Do you hav Yes □ No □ Give the nan herein: (a) At preli (b) At arrai | im cannot reasonably be raised until after trial/direct appear the damage is not usually detected until after those actions. If under "BOOKER": This is based on new law which should be ded to the Petitioner as a constitutional right. It is any petition or appeal now pending in any court as to the judgment under attack? The and address, if known, of each attorney who represented you in the following stages of the judgment attack minary hearing The analysis of the property |

| 3 (Rev. 5/85) | |
|--|--|
| (a) O | AFAEL ANGLADA-LOPEZ, Same as previous listed. |
| (e) On appeal RA | The state of the s |
| · | DATATI ANGLADA LODDE C |
| • | ceeding RAFAEL ANGLADA-LOPEZ, Same as abo |
| (petition to Su | ipreme Court) |
| (g) On appeal from any adve | erse ruling in a post-conviction proceeding |
| Not applic | cable |
| Were you sentenced on more the approximately the same time? Yes □ No x x | nan one count of an indictment, or on more than one indictment, in the same court ar |
| Do you have any future sentence Yes □ No | ice to serve after you complete the sentence imposed by the judgment under attack? |
| (a) If so, give name and locatio | on of court which imposed sentence to be served in the future: |
| Not a | upplicable. |
| | |
| | |
| (b) Give date and length of the | above sentence: |
| | |
| Not a | pplicable |
| (c) Have you filed, or do you co served in the future? | e above sentence: pplicable ontemplate filing, any petition attacking the judgment which imposed the sentence to |
| Not a Not a (c) Have you filed, or do you co | e above sentence: pplicable ontemplate filing, any petition attacking the judgment which imposed the sentence to |
| Not a (c) Have you filed, or do you co served in the future? Yes □ No □ No | e above sentence: pplicable ontemplate filing, any petition attacking the judgment which imposed the sentence to ot applicable. |
| Not a (c) Have you filed, or do you co served in the future? Yes □ No □ No | e above sentence: pplicable ontemplate filing, any petition attacking the judgment which imposed the sentence to |
| Not a (c) Have you filed, or do you co served in the future? Yes □ No □ No | e above sentence: pplicable ontemplate filing, any petition attacking the judgment which imposed the sentence to ot applicable. |
| Not a (c) Have you filed, or do you co served in the future? Yes □ No □ No | e above sentence: applicable contemplate filing, any petition attacking the judgment which imposed the sentence to ot applicable. Court grant him all relief to which he may be entitled in this proceeding. |
| Not a (c) Have you filed, or do you co served in the future? Yes □ No □ No | e above sentence: applicable contemplate filing, any petition attacking the judgment which imposed the sentence to ot applicable. Court grant him all relief to which he may be entitled in this proceeding. |
| Not a (c) Have you filed, or do you co served in the future? Yes □ No □ No | e above sentence: applicable contemplate filing, any petition attacking the judgment which imposed the sentence to ot applicable. Court grant him all relief to which he may be entitled in this proceeding. |
| Not a (c) Have you filed, or do you co served in the future? Yes □ No □ No | e above sentence: applicable contemplate filing, any petition attacking the judgment which imposed the sentence to ot applicable. Court grant him all relief to which he may be entitled in this proceeding. |
| Not a (c) Have you filed, or do you co served in the future? Yes \(\subseteq \text{No} \supseteq \subseteq \text{No} \) | e above sentence: applicable contemplate filing, any petition attacking the judgment which imposed the sentence to ot applicable. Court grant him all relief to which he may be entitled in this proceeding. |
| Not a (c) Have you filed, or do you co served in the future? Yes \(\subseteq \text{No} \supseteq \subseteq \text{No} \) | e above sentence: applicable contemplate filing, any petition attacking the judgment which imposed the sentence to ot applicable. Court grant him all relief to which he may be entitled in this proceeding. Not applicable Signature of Attorney (if any) |
| Not a (c) Have you filed, or do you co served in the future? Yes □ No □ No Wherefore, movant prays that the | e above sentence: applicable contemplate filing, any petition attacking the judgment which imposed the sentence to ot applicable. Court grant him all relief to which he may be entitled in this proceeding. Not applicable Signature of Attorney (if any) |
| Not a (c) Have you filed, or do you co served in the future? Yes □ No □ No Wherefore, movant prays that the | e above sentence: Ipplicable contemplate filing, any petition attacking the judgment which imposed the sentence to ot applicable. Court grant him all relief to which he may be entitled in this proceeding. Not applicable Signature of Attorney (if any) |
| Not a (c) Have you filed, or do you co served in the future? Yes \(\subseteq \text{No} \supseteq \subseteq \text{No} \) | e above sentence: Inpplicable Contemplate filing, any petition attacking the judgment which imposed the sentence to ot applicable. Court grant him all relief to which he may be entitled in this proceeding. Not applicable Signature of Attorney (if any) The proceeding of the sentence to sentence to applicable. Signature of Attorney (if any) |
| Not a (c) Have you filed, or do you co served in the future? Yes □ No □ No Wherefore, movant prays that the | e above sentence: Ipplicable contemplate filing, any petition attacking the judgment which imposed the sentence to ot applicable. Court grant him all relief to which he may be entitled in this proceeding. Not applicable Signature of Attorney (if any) |
| Not a (c) Have you filed, or do you co served in the future? Yes □ No □ No Wherefore, movant prays that the | e above sentence: Inpplicable Contemplate filing, any petition attacking the judgment which imposed the sentence to ot applicable. Court grant him all relief to which he may be entitled in this proceeding. Not applicable Signature of Attorney (if any) The proceeding of the sentence to sentence to applicable. Signature of Attorney (if any) |